## CALL IN REQUEST – Option (a)

## A Call In request may be made by:

## Any five non-executive Members of council

Date of decision publication:21/6/11
Delegated decision ref:
Executive Board Minute no:or
Area Committee Name and decision ref:
Decision description: Review of Parking Fees
Discussion with Decision Maker: Prior to submitting a Call In, a nominated signatory must first contact the relevant officer or Executive Member to discuss their concerns and their reasons for wanting to call in the decision. Part of this discussion must include the Member ascertaining the financial implications of requesting a Call In.
Please identify contact and provide detail. Director/author of delegated decision report. Executive Board Member Detail of discussion (to include financial implications)
Countler Anderson spore in chief officer, Andrew Mason
on 28/6/11 at which the financial implications of cult-in
were discussed. It was explained there any be a cost to the
tourset of not applementing the reinsed for s as schululed but
Councilly Andeson betwees these costs can be avoided if her
matter is reviewed quickly

Reasons for Call In:
All requests for Call In must detail why, in the opinion of the signatories, the decision
was not taken in accordance with the principles set out in Article 13 of the Council
constitution (decision making) (principles of decision making) or where relevant issues
do not appear to be taken into consideration. <i>Please tick the relevant box(es)</i> <b>and give an explanation.</b>
give an explanation.
Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)
Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers
Respect for human rights
A presumption in favour of openness
Clarity of aims and desired outcomes
An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision
Positive promotion of equal opportunities
Explanation Mis evidence of proper strategic review that included consultation with members. This is despite the high level of public concern rejording connect parking policies. Review does not appear to have combined the experise of the two key departments - city Development and Environment & Neighburhieds. Lach of detail in report about reported for some of the specific charges and their impact on computations.
with weakbors. This is despite the high level of public conform rejouting.
Council porting portions
Level was her append to have brought and the experise of the
Luch of debit is count should be saw A. Me
East Tit charges and they in math on company bits
Springar courses and concerned and control of the second s

In the case of decisions made by Area Committees, a Member cannot count as one of the two/five signatures if they are a member of that Area Committee.

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in:
1) Signature
Print name
2) Signature hof ana.
Print name COUNCILLOR PETER HAILLAND
3) Signature
Print name COUNCILLOR ALAW LAMB
4) Signature. Buy Julian Print name. BANNY ANDENSON
Print name BANNY ANDENSON
5) Signature
Print name

This form should be submitted to the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development (Scrutiny Support Unit, 1st Floor West, Civic Hall) by 5.00pm on the fifth working day after the decision publication date. The office is open from 9.00am to 5.00pm.

(For further information on the Call In procedure please refer to the Scrutiny Support Unit intranet site, or contact the Unit on 39 51151).

For office use only: (box A)		
Received on pehalf of the Head	of Scrutiny and Mem	ber Development by:
I.N. Y		(signature)
Date: 28 June 2011	Time:/ <u>\/</u> +.5	SSU ref: 2011-12 D35452 -52

For office use only: (box B)				
Exemption status checked:	$\square$	Call In authorised: (Tes) No Signed:		
Date checked:		Signed: A Geogracia		
Signatures checked:		Date: 28 June 2011		
Receipts given:	$\overline{}$			
Validity re article 13				
Receipt details:	Email			

.